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Using the chatbox, answer this question: How many years of financial sustainability are you reasonably confident your State’s Lifespan Respite System has?
The Finance Project Study

- Eight states participated in a finance sustainability planning project.
- We interviewed state project coordinators and exemplary state coordinators.
- Although none of the project states had succeeded in developing a written comprehensive state plan at the time interviews were conducted, all of the project states instituted changes that they believe improve the likelihood of sustaining their respite system.
Sustaining Lifespan Respite Systems:
Lessons Learned and Practical Applications
with a Checklist for Success
What are we sustaining?

We are committed partners with shared vision & leadership that continues through times of economic & political flux. Our partners have diverse experience, expertise, first-hand experience & vision, decision-making authority, a willingness to advocate, & political clout.

We have principles, plans & procedures to guide decision making & activities. Our program & partners have sufficient & stable space, time & materials. We have a “safety net” of human & financial resources for lean times.

We can count on support — training, resources, respectful relationships — so that we can provide supportive care.

We can count on accessible, affordable and appropriate services when and as long as needed.
Are we getting it right? (evidence)

How should we support respite? (available, accessible, affordable)

Why should we support respite? (systems infrastructure)
Markers of Sustainability

- People from multiple contexts
- Plans that reflect a shared vision and strategies
- Practical Actions that result in concrete deliverables
- Policies that reinforce infrastructure
① Be strategic and inclusive.

② Share leadership and plan for succession.

③ Establish enduring and productive partnerships.
One of our members, our evaluator from (a neighboring university), she’s also a great facilitator. She knows how to do planning. So we paid her out of the grant. She did a two-day meeting, and we used the logic model and some other things we had gotten from the project itself. She let us do that. She did some really interesting things. She made it participative so that every person was engaged. And she got to the point of what the sustainability plan was looking for. Every person was absolutely engaged in it...We couldn’t have done it if she hadn’t been the facilitator. I really believe that. So she’s the one who got us the answers, who pulled it together in terms of indicators and that type of things. That’s how we got to where we are today, with excellent facilitation.
PLANS

① Develop a sustainability plan.
② Find a facilitator.
③ Distinguish between human time and institutional time.
I’m an opportunist. I saw (The Finance Project) as an opportunity to work not just on lifespan respite, but more broadly on sustainability in everything we do.
PRACTICAL ACTIONS

1. Forge state agency fiscal partnerships.
2. Encourage existing programs and initiatives to incorporate respite activities.
3. Use multiple financing strategies.
4. Use performance measures to document need and benefits.
5. Encourage grassroots support.
6. Use technology to inform, educate and increase access.
7. Target and leverage funding.
You tend to get bogged down when you work for the state. There is that bureaucratic weight that kind of presses you down. It’s really exciting to have something new, that we’re in control of, and does something positive.
① Encourage organizations to incorporate respite guidelines and policies.
② Develop government policies that support lifespan respite.
What’s the Key Ingredient for a Successful, Sustainable Coalition?

A diverse group of people working together to achieve a shared vision.

People in successful collaborative partnerships believe change is possible and goals can be achieved.

A sustainable coalition has a core team of at least 3 – the backbone of the coalition. They’re knowledge keepers, connectors, and champions.

Coalition members aspire to a common vision and goals. Small successes along the way keep them involved and motivated.
Among the members are people:

- With decision-making authority.
- Connected to others with influence and knowledge.
- With first-hand experience as a family caregiver or care recipient.

Coalition members belong to or are connected with at least one of the systems in the checklist.
**WHO are the people in your coalition?**

- Do 50% of the members have the qualities in the blue columns?
- Does at least one member have political clout?
- Do members from key organizations have decision-making power?

Your coalition should include or have strong connections with people in the following systems. Make sure each box on the left can be checked.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Personal experience with respite</th>
<th>Professional knowledge of respite</th>
<th>Political clout and connections</th>
<th>Decision-making power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Faith communities</strong></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Private-sector nonprofit organizations</strong></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Private sector businesses and employers</strong></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volunteer organizations and clubs</strong></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Healthcare sector</strong></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education community</strong></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Charitable foundations</strong></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Philanthropic individuals</strong></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Legislators and other policy makers</strong></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Veterans and military families</strong></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%91%EF%B8%8F" alt="☑️" /></td>
<td><img src="%E2%98%90" alt="☐" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The number of meetings people attend is **not** a reliable indicator of successful collaborations. A better indicator is the quality of the relationships within the group.
The coalition’s most productive work usually happens outside of meetings

Remember what we discussed at the meeting? Well, I’ve had this thought…

Good idea! I know someone who might be able to help. I’ll give her a call…
Different sized groups work in different ways. Pairs and threes work well for focused tasks to be brought back to the larger group. Four to 10 work well generating and refining ideas. More than 10 brings a diversity of great ideas and influence, but larger numbers may inhibit reticent members from participating, and leadership struggles are more likely. (www.faculty.londondeanery.ac.uk).
What’s the Key Ingredient for a Successful, Sustainable Coalition?

A diverse group of people working together to achieve a shared vision.

- People in successful collaborations believe change is possible and goals can be achieved.
- A sustainable coalition has a core team of at least 3—the backbone of the coalition. They’re knowledgeable, connectors, and champions.
- Coalition members belong to or are connected with at least one of the systems in the checklist.
- Among the members are people:
  - With decision-making authority.
  - Connected to others with influence/knowledge.
  - With first-hand experience as a family caregiver or care recipient.

**Who are the people in your coalition?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder group</th>
<th>Personal knowledge</th>
<th>Professional knowledge</th>
<th>Political clout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health communities</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sector not-for-profits</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sector businesses and employers</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer organizations and state</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health-care sector</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children, aging, and disability groups</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education community</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charitable foundations</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member states/societies</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legislators and other policy makers</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans and military families</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
<td>🌟</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fun Fact:**

- Different sized groups work in different ways. Pairs and threes work well for bonding and to be brought back to the larger group. Bigger groups can generate and refining ideas. More than 10 puts a drain on great ideas and influence; but larger numbers may inhibit reluctant members from participating, and leadership struggles are more likely. (www.faculty.londonmet.ac.uk)
Challenges to Sustainability and How to Counter Them

1 Changes in agency leadership.
When state political leadership changes, new agency and program appointees may not possess a clear understanding of respite. Government transitions may influence the stability and sustainability of respite services and initiatives in either direction.

Have a clear, concise, compelling plan to share with new leaders.
Your core group should include people who are likely to remain through times of change, and who are able to articulate the importance of respite and convey the groups’ goals and plans to new leaders.

2 Power concentrated in a single leader.
Planning and coordinating groups led by a take-charge, dynamic personality may appear strong while that person remains in a leadership position, but when that person leaves, there may be a leadership vacuum.

Seek and mentor leaders, and share leadership.
Make sure your core group includes more than one person who knows the groups’ history and understands its shared purpose. Discuss the importance of shared leadership at the time your group is formed, and consider writing guidelines or bylaws that formally incorporate ways to share leadership and pass knowledge to new members.
3 Planning groups without clout.
Successful planning and coordinating groups include multiple ways for members to share their ideas and talents. But without someone who can influence organizations or policies, even the best ideas and plans may not materialize.

4 Groups lacking shared purpose and meaning.
If groups are too large in number or too professionally distancing in tone, some members may feel reluctant to share ideas. Shared purpose requires shared participation, and these foster the commitment from all members that is necessary for sustainability.

Look for people who understand the importance of respite and who have authority and influence. The core group should frankly discuss inviting individual members who have decision-making power within key organizations, and members who have influence in drafting and/or advocating for legislation and policies that support respite.

Build consensus around a common mission and goals. Groups and coalitions meet for many reasons—to plan, learn, exchange information, conduct business, and to form partnerships and friendships. Whatever your purposes, make them clear for each meeting. If tasks or group dynamics become challenging, find a skilled facilitator—either from within or outside the group—to help smooth the path forward.
People unskilled at interpersonal relationships and collaborative work.
Some groups include persons with the right credentials, but without the willingness or know-how to work in true collaborative partnerships. Strong interpersonal relationships are fundamental to success.

Choose members wisely.
Encourage opportunities for communication and warm relationships among planning partners. From the outset, invite people who want to, and are skilled at, working collaboratively.
Active peer-to-peer learning facilitated by experienced state leaders: Sharon Johnson (Nebraska), Amy Nazaire (Massachusetts), MaryJo Alimena Caruso (Pennsylvania), Cheryl Smith (Alabama)

Focus on actual work with technical assistance provided during scheduled Webinars and phone conferences.

State respite coalition leaders and current and former state Lifespan Respite grantees are welcome.

Contact Susan Summers for more information on how to participate: cssummers@earthlink.net
For More Information

Susan Summers, PhD and Casandra Firman, MS
cssummers@earthlink.net and cfirman@friendsnrc.org

ARCH National Respite Network and Resource Center
http://www.archrespite.org/
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